

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-22047 of 2016
Date of decision : June 30, 2016**

Madhu and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Both the petitioners in person with
Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

Affidavit of petitioner No.2-Vikas Hooda has been filed in Court and the same is taken on record.

Madhu and Vikas Hooda, the petitioners before me, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondents No. 4 & 5 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 & 5. They have placed on record photographs and marriage certificate, Annexures P-3 & P-4, respectively. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 28.06.2016, Annexure P-5 to respondent No.2.

Without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with directions to respondent No. 2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-5 within a period of one month and grant the protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

June 30, 2016
poonam

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**