

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21974 of 2016

Date of decision: August 03, 2016

Manjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

This Court had made an interim order dated 29.06.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the FIR in question.

Learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposed the petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that the deceased was being harassed by the petitioner on the ground that she was claiming to be the wife of the deceased from second marriage. He further states that the petitioner started harassing the deceased and as a result of which, he committed suicide. No case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

Having perused the record and the order dated 09.06.2016 as well as the reasons, I find prima-facie no reason stand established since the dispute between the petitioner was in the form of matrimonial dispute and was continuing for quite some time and the order of maintenance was also passed.

Be that as it may, the petitioner has joined the investigation and having prima-facie satisfied that she should be allowed to continue on bail, I am inclined to make the interim order dated 29.06.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner absolute.

In that view of the matter, the interim order dated 29.06.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

August 03, 2016
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No