

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Date of Decision: 25.5.2016

ESA No. 1719 of 1999

Bhagwan Singh (deceased)through his Lrs.

---Appellant

versus

Rajesh and another

---Respondents

ESA No. 1720 of 1999

Bhagwan Singh and another

---Appellants

versus

Umed and another

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

**Present: Mr.R.A.Sheoran, Advocate
for the appellant(s)**

**Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for respondent No. 1 and Lrs of respondent No. 2
(in ESA No. 1719 of 1999)
for Lrs. of respondent No. 1 and respondent No. 2
(in ESA No. 1720 of 1999)**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
- 3 Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rekha Mittal, J.

This order will dispose of ESA Nos. 1719 and 1720 of 1999 as these are the off shoot of decree dated 12.12.1994 and proceedings for execution of the said decree.

Counsel for respondent no. 1 and legal representatives of respondent No. 2 Sh. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate has submitted that the respondents are ready to pay by way of demand draft an amount of Rs. 35000/- towards full and final satisfaction of the decree dated 12.12.1994 in the Executing Court within a period of one month in the name of the decree holder. It is further submitted that Chandgi Ram, auction purchaser shall be at liberty to file an appropriate application for withdrawal of the amount deposited by him towards auction money.

Counsel for the appellant states that in view of statement made by counsel for the respondents, the appeals may be dismissed as withdrawn.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

25.5.2016

PARAMJIT