

277

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-21948 of 2016****Date of decision: July 25, 2016**

Manpreet Singh @ Mani and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**Present:** Mr. A.S. Sullar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Rakhra, Advocate
for the complainant.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused FIR No.23 dated 16.03.2016, under Sections 323, 336, 341, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25/27 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sangat, District Bathinda.

It is seen from the FIR in question that, though the petitioners are said to have been present on the spot, but the fact remains that Shagandeeep Singh @ Pappu and Aman Brar had indulged in firing. So far as the present petitioners are concerned, there are no allegations against them.

In this view of the matter, this petition is allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail upon their furnishing personal bail bonds amounting to ₹10,000/- with one surety of like amount. Petitioner shall join investigation, cooperate with the investigating officer and shall not tamper with prosecution evidence.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE****July 25, 2016**

mahavir