

503/1

C.W.P. No. 9964 of 1999

**MOOL RAJ AND OTHERS
V/S
CHIEF ENGINEER (COMMERCIAL) AND OTHERS**

Present: Petitioner No.1-in person

Mr. Rajiv Dutt, Assistant Executive Engineer, O/o Chief Engineer (Commercial), Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam, Panchkula

Mr. Rattan Singh Longia, Advocate for respondent-HVPNL

Mr. Narender Parmar, AAG Haryana

* * * *

This writ petition was filed by Mool Raj with 49 other petitioners. The prayer made in this writ petition was for issuance of a writ directing the respondents to charge ₹ 30/- per B.H.P. per month as electricity charges on the tubewells installed by the petitioners at flat rate, in terms of new concessional tariffs introduced by Haryana State Electricity Board w.e.f. 1.5.1998 and further the respondents should be directed not to charge more than ₹ 30/- per B.H.P. per month. The Admitting Bench did not grant any stay.

Mool Raj-petitioner is present in person. The other petitioners are not present nor their counsel is present. Mr. Mool Raj has stated that from somewhere in the year 2005, the respondents are charging even less than ₹ 30/- per B.H.P. per month. This fact is admitted by Mr. Rajiv Dutt, Assistant Executive Engineer. In this view of the matter, petitioner-Mool Raj has stated that this writ petition may be disposed of as infructuous.

We order accordingly. However, since the other petitioners

are not present, nor their counsel is present, we give liberty to the other

petitioners to get the case revived, in accordance with law, if circumstances, so exist.

Copy of the order be given to learned counsel/parties.

(R.S.Mongia)
President

(Arvind Kumar)
Member

29.07.2016
Janki