

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21888-2016

Date of decision: July 22, 2016.

Iqbal Singh and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Deepinder Brar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Shri Kavish Midha, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**A.B. Chaudhari, J.** (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. On the last date of hearing i.e., 28.6.2016, a statement was made by the petitioners that the amount of Rs.10.00 lacs stands paid to the complainant. Counsel for the respondent-complainant agrees with the statement. Learned Counsel for the State, however, opposes the relief on the ground that the petitioner is a proclaimed offender.

Be that as it may, since I find that the matter has been compromised, the petitioners should be extended the benefit of grant of anticipatory bail. Hence, in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be

released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

The petitioners as well as the complainants shall deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- each (total Rs.20,000/-) with the Office of the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana within a period of four weeks by way of compensation to the State Govt. for setting the criminal law into motion.

Disposed of.

July 22, 2016.  
*kadyan*

[ **A.B. Chaudhari** ]  
**Judge**