

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH
COURT AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-21885 of 2016

Date of decision :June 27, 2016

MANISHA AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Both the petitioners in person with
Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate.

Mr. Puneet Pali, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Affidavit of petitioner No.2 along with copy of marriage
certificate filed today in Court is taken on record.

Respondent Nos.4 and 5 i.e. parents of petitioner No.1 are
present in Court. The parties were given some time to interact.

Manisha and Tarsem, the petitioners before me, claim
themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have married
against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 7 and seek protection to their
life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no. 4 to 7.
They have placed on record photographs, Annexure P-3. The petitioners
have submitted a representation dated 23.06.2016, Annexure P-4 to
respondents no.2 and 3.

Without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with directions to respondent no.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-4 within a period of one month and grant the protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

The petitioners request for safe passage up to bus stand, Chandigarh. Security Incharge of this Court shall make necessary arrangements in this regard. A copy of the order be supplied to him for compliance.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

June 27, 2016
SwarnjitS