

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-21860 of 2016

Date of decision : 27.06.2016

Megha Bajaj and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Both the petitioners in person with counsel
Mr. Balraj Singh Dhull, Advocate.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

Megha Bajaj and V.P. Singh, the petitioners before me, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondent No. 4 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondent No. 4. They have placed on record only photographs Annexures P-3 evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 19.06.2016, Annexure P-4 to respondent no.2. Marriage certificate has not been placed on record.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to approach respondent No. 2 i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, Haryana who would look into the allegation and take steps in accordance with law if situation so warrants.

This order shall not be construed to be conferring legitimacy or authenticity to the factum of marriage having been performed as the Court is clearly deprived of any means to determine the facts.

27.06.2016

reena

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**