

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21855-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.08.2016

Jugti Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by SI Jaibir Singh.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Kansal, Advocate for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.269 dated 18.04.2016, registered under Sections 307, 216 and 120-B read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at P.S. Jind City.

Contends that the petitioner, aged 70 years, is not named in the FIR. Except the disclosure statements of co-accused Ashok and Ajay, there is no evidence against the petitioner. He is not seen in the CCTV footage. The petitioner is in custody since 28.05.2016. He is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the son of the petitioner is a history-sheeter and involved in so many FIRs. Further informs that the challan though presented, but the charges are yet to be

ATUL SETHI
23.08.2016
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

framed.

Heard.

The petitioner, aged 70 years, is in custody since 28.05.2016. He is not involved in any other FIR. The trial is yet to commence, therefore, this Court feels that no purpose would be achieved in keeping the petitioner under further incarceration.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

23.08.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No