

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20869-2015

Date of Decision: February 04, 2016

Parvesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Vinay Pandey, Advocate, for
Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mikhail Kad, AAG, Punjab.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner submits that he has instructions to state at bar that the petitioner has since died, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from Head Constable Shingara Singh, Police Station Sadar Kapurthala, has also supported the stand taken by learned proxy counsel for the

petitioner.

Ordered accordingly.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 04, 2016
apurva