

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21791 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.07.2016

Ranjit and others

...Petitioners

Vs

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present:- Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Aashish Gupta, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.136 dated 12.05.2016 registered under Sections 323/354/452/506/427/148/149 IPC and Sections 3-33-89 of the SC/ST Act, at Police Station Tauru, District Mewat.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has placed on record some documents to show that three of the petitioners were students. Counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners had been invited for the wedding and they had gone to attend the wedding but the host family created a scene and inflicted injuries on them and four people from their side also sustained injuries and their MLRs are on record. It was urged that a complaint was given to the police but no case was registered.

The application is opposed by the complainant side as well as by the State. It was urged that 11 persons are wanted in the FIR and 4

have been arrested. It was urged that two daughters of the complainant were to be married and these persons barged in and the barat had come from different cities and meal were being served to the second barat and at about 1.00 o'clock, in night these person entered the pandal and started teasing the girls who were in the barat and when there was an objection, they started abusing, threatening and came to blows and inflicted injuries with lathis, danda, saria and fractures have been suffered and the allegations under Section 354 IPC and Section 3 of the SC/ST Act have been made.

I have gone through the MLRs which were shown at the time of argument and the reports therein. The complainant side had suffered fractures. There are 4/5 people who were injured. Recovery is to be effected. Considering the factual score, no case for anticipatory bail is made out.

Petition is dismissed.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

July 21, 2016
vanita