

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 21757 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.07.2016

Manisha

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate and
Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by HC Sushil Kumar.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.190, dated 11.12.2015, registered under Sections 406, 420 IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act, at Police Station Division No.7, Jalandhar.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged dealings on the basis of which the present FIR has been registered. In pursuance of order dated 24.06.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that

the petitioner has been declared proclaimed offender in the present FIR on 16.04.2016 as well as in FIR No.201 dated 24.12.2015.

Heard.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that the petitioner was declared proclaimed offender. He is involved in another FIR under NDPS Act.

Heard.

The present petition was filed on 22.06.2016. The factum of her being proclaimed offender in the present case on 16.04.2016 has not been mentioned. The petitioner has concealed the material facts from the Court and has not approached the Court with clean hands. Keeping in view the conduct of the petitioner, no case for bail is made out.

Dismissed.

26.07.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable: Yes No