

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-21755 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 8TH JULY, 2016

Anil Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Vikram Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the reasons given by the trial Judge.

Learned State counsel submits that raid was conducted by the police and some of the accused were apprehended. However the petitioner and one more person had run away.

In that view of the matter and looking into the seriousness of the offence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Liberty is reserved to apply for regular bail.

8TH JULY, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE