

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.21751 of 2016

Date of Decision: 14.7.2016

Moshim

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Ms.Justice Ritu Bahri

Present: Mr.Munfaid Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Anmol Malik, AAG Haryana.

Ms.Vandana Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.190 dated 4.4.2016 under Sections 365, 366, 376-D, 344, 506 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Nuh, District Mewat, Haryana.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from IO present in the Court, submits that while the petitioner was in custody, no recovery of electronic media has been effected and only two mobile phones have been recovered from the petitioner. He further submits that challan has been present in the Court and the case is fixed for framing of charge on 18.7.2016.

Counsel for the complainant states that there is no objection from their side to the solemnisation of marriage and the only apprehension is that video clipping made by the petitioner will adversely affect the honour of his daughter.

On a specific query put to Ravina (prosecutrix), who is present in Court, she informs that no video clipping has been made by the petitioner.

In view of the above and since prosecutrix Ravina has also made statement that there is no video clipping made by the petitioner, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Judge/Duty Magistrate.

Needless to mention that, nothing observed here-in-above, would reflect, on merits in the trial of the main case, in any manner.

14.7.2016
Meenu

(Ritu Bahri)
Judge