

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M- 2167 of 2016
Date of decision : 27.01.2016**

Mrs. Shakuntla

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 566 dated 04.07.2015, registered under Sections 363, 366(A), 376, 120-B IPC, Police Model Town, Panipat, District Panipat.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations levelled by the complainant were that Deepak her son had enticed the complainant's daughter to solemnize marriage and no role has been attributed to the petitioner and in the statement made under Section 164 Cr.P.C., the prosecutrix had stated that she had gone on her own with Deepak to Chandigarh and then to

Haridwar. It was urged that challan has been presented and examination-in-chief of the prosecutrix was recorded on 06.01.2016.

The petitioner was arrested on 06.07.2015. The petitioner is the mother of Deepak who is said to have enticed a minor. In the statement made under Section 164 Cr.P.C., the girl had stated that she had gone on her own with the boy.

The accusations against the mother are vague. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

27.01.2016
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE