

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-21658 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.06.2016**

Nazim

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

CRM No.19653 of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, same is allowed as prayed for and accompanying document (Annexure P-2) is allowed to be taken on record.

Crl. Misc. No.M-21658 of 2016

Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. the petitioner is seeking registration of the FIR, as per allegations made in the representation dated 30.05.2016 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel submits that representation, Annexure P-1 was filed before respondent Nos.2 to 4, but the same has not been decided so far and the petitioner would be satisfied if respondent No.3 is directed to decide the same within stipulated time.

Without going into the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation, Annexure P-1 at his own level.

27.06.2016
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE