

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(115)

CRM-M-21572-2016

Date of Decision:-15.07.2016

Priyanka Pandey and another

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Harinder Pal Singh Ishar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

Division Bench of this Court in the case of ***Asha and another versus State of Haryana and others*** in CWP No.6717 of 2009, decided on 25.07.2012 had made an interim order on 31.03.2010, which reads as under:-

“Therefore, all the District and Sessions Judges in Punjab, Haryana and also U.T. Chandigarh are directed to ensure that if such run away couples approach them for help and assistance, interim protection shall be provided by the learned District and Sessions Judge, pending further orders of this

Court. In case the District and Sessions Judge is not available, the senior-most Additional District and Sessions Judge will provide such protection.”

While disposing of the said writ petition, the Division Bench has made the above order absolute which is reproduced below:-

“(i) The direction of this Court dated 31.3.2010 granting liberty to couples who have married against the wishes of their parents to approach the District and Sessions Judges in Punjab, Haryana and U.T. Chandigarh for grant of protection is made absolute.”

In view of the above order made by the Division Bench, this Court has no option but to make the following order:-

ORDER

- (i) CRM-M-21572-2016 stands disposed of with a liberty in favour of the petitioners to approach the Sessions Court concerned in accordance with the above said directions made by the Division Bench.
- (ii) By way of interim measure, concerned police authority, respondent No.2 shall provide protection to the petitioners for a period of 7 days only to enable them to seek necessary relief from the Sessions Court.

July 15, 2016

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge