

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21503-2016

Date of decision: July 13, 2016.

Roshni and another

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Arpandeep Narula, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties and perused the FIR in question, namely, FIR No.66 dated 14.5.2016, registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 IPC (Sections 302/325 added later on) at Police Station Nathu Sari Chopta, District Sirsa.

It is clear from the reading of the FIR that it is Shankar Lal who inflicted the axe blow on the head of the husband of the complainant. In so far as present petitioners are concerned, they had indulged in demolishing the common wall and nothing more. In that view of the matter, the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail in the FIR in question. They shall continue to remain on bail till the conclusion of the trial.

Disposed of.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

July 13, 2016.