

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21478 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.09.2016

Jarnail Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Deepak Vashishth, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab
assisted by ASI Sukhwinder Singh.

Mr. G.S. Bhatia, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking regular bail in FIR No.56 dated 31.05.2016, registered under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC'), at Police Station Katarpur, District Jalandhar Rural, Jalandhar.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a witness to the agreement and he is not the beneficiary at all. The petitioner is in custody since 01.06.2016.

The learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the present bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is a witness to the agreement, he is not the beneficiary, the challan already stands presented, the charges are yet to be framed, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the conclusion of trial will take a long time, so without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail, on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

29.09.2016
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No