

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-2146 of 2016
Date of Decision: 21.01.2016

Rimpy

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for issuance of direction to the official respondents to register FIR against respondents No.5 and 6 and to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members at the hands of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a cognizable offence is made out, still the FIR has not been registered, whereas, it is mandatory as per settled proposition of law. The petitioner made a representation dated 08.01.2016 but no action has been taken thereupon so far. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued by this Court to take action on the representation, which is still pending.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the representation dated 08.01.2016 moved by the petitioner and take necessary action in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

21.01.2016
gurpreet