

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.21369 of 2016
Date of Decision.17.06.2016

Renu Bala and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Balraj Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of direction to official respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7.

Petitioners are stated to be major and have solemnized marriage on 15.06.2016 which is against the wishes of their parents. Factum of marriage has been pleaded with reference to photographs (Annexure P-4). Petitioners have an apprehension at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7 and in this regard, they have submitted a representation dated 15.06.2016 (Annexure P-5) to the Superintendent of Police, Sirsa - respondent No.2, but no action has been taken so far.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction to the 2nd respondent- Superintendent of Police, Sirsa to look into the representation of the petitioners and decide the same and if required, provide the protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate security.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 17, 2016
savita