

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 21343 of 2016
Date of decision : 6.9.2016**

Renu Patel and another

.....Petitioners

v.

State of U.T. Chandigarh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present : Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioners

Mr. A.S. Virk, Addl. PP for UT, Chandigarh

Mr. R.S. Duggal, Advocate, for respondent No.2

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 298 dated 6.9.2014, under Sections 363 and 366 IPC, registered at Police Station Industrial Area, UT, Chandigarh (Annexure P-2) on the basis of compromise dated 14.6.2016 (Annexure P-4).

Brief facts of the case are that the petitioners No.1 and 2 were having love affair with each other. Being major, they have got solemnized their inter caste marriage against the wishes of respondent No.2, which resulted into the registration of the present FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at present petitioner No.1 is residing happily with petitioner No.2 and they have a child of about 10 months from the wedlock. The matter has now been amicably settled between the parties with the intervention of friends, elders and respectable, vide compromise deed dated 14.6.2016 (Annexure P-4).

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties, with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise deed by way of order dated 12.7.2016 by this Court.

In compliance of order dated 12.7.2016, learned learned trial Court has submitted the report vide letter dated 29.7.2016, which indicates that parties appeared before it and got recorded their respective statements with regard to validity of compromise. As per the report, compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure or coercion from any corner. Now no dispute is pending between the parties.

Consequently, in view of above said report and in view of the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. v. State of Madhya Pradesh and another, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910**; **“Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429”**, and the law laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in the case of **“Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052”**, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 298 dated 6.9.2014, under Sections 363 and 366 IPC, registered at Police Station Industrial Area, UT, Chandigarh (Annexure P-2) is quashed on the basis of compromise dated 14.6.2016 (Annexure P-4) and all the criminal proceedings arising out of the said FIR also stands quashed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

6.9.2016
Ashwani