

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-23154 of 2013

Date of Decision: 28.05.2016

Ashok & others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Y.P. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sagar Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Arun Luthra, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that since the trial in the case has been concluded, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

May 28, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE