

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

FAO No. 280 of 1996

Date of decision :24.05.2016

Pati Raji and others

.....Appellants

Versus

Lakhbir Singh and others

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Prateek Mahajan Advocate
for the appellants.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellants states that he has made strenuous efforts to contact the appellants for supplying the correct address of respondent no.1. But, none of the appellant has come-forward and he is not in position to comply with the directions of the Court to supply the correct address of respondent no.1. The appellants are not supplying the correct address of respondent no.1 in spite of repeated directions of the Court.

So, the present appeal is hereby dismissed in default for want of prosecution with liberty to appellants to move the application for restoration of the appeal in case they are able to get the correct address of respondent no.1.

24.05.2016

S.khan

**(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**