

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21235-2016

Date of decision: July 21, 2016.

Labh Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri A.S. Barnala, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties and perused the interim order dated 28.6.2016.

Learned Counsel for the State, upon instructions from ASI Jagjit Singh, fairly states that the petitioner joined the investigation. Looking to the nature of the offences in the FIR, I think the interim order deserves to be made absolute. Interim order is, thus, made absolute. The petitioner will continue to remain on bail during the pendency of trial.

Disposed of.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

July 21, 2016.
kadyan