

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.21224 of 2016
Date of decision : 15.06.2016

Sumitra Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Manoj Pundir, Advocate for
Mr. Tarun Seth, Advocate for the petitioners.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents.

Petitioners are stated to be major and have solemnized marriage on 13.06.2016 which is against the wishes of their parents. Factum of marriage has been pleaded with reference to photographs (Annexure P-3). Petitioners have an apprehension at the hands of private respondents Nos.4 to 7 and in this regard they have submitted a representation dated 13.06.2016 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka-respondent No.2, but no action

has been taken so far.

The present petition is disposed of with the direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka-respondent No.2 to look into the representation of the petitioners and decide the same and if required, provide the protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate security.

The Criminal Misc. petition stands disposed of.

15.06.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**