

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-2810-2002 (O&M)
Date of decision : 17.12.2016

Jagdish alias Kaka

... Appellant

Versus

Satinder Pal alias Satinder Kumar and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the appellant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Vide order dated 23.07.2016 a last opportunity had been granted to the appellant to furnish the addresses of the respondents as had remained unserved and upon such addresses being furnished, notice was ordered to be issued to them, returnable on 16.11.2016. Dasti notice was ordered to be issued as well.

As per the report of the Registry dated 11.11.2016, fresh addresses of respondents no.5 & 6 were furnished by counsel for the appellant, but as regards respondent no.5 the notice was returned, stating that the address was still wrong. Notice issued to respondent no.6 was reported to have not been received back served or otherwise, which continues to remain so even one month later.

Vide an order dated 22.08.2014, it had already been noticed that notices could not be issued to respondents no.1 to 5 and 8 & 9 for want of correct addresses.

Obviously, the addresses of respondents no.1 to 4, 8 & 9 have

still not been supplied more than two years later by counsel for the appellant and the address supplied of respondent no.5 is also shown to be incorrect.

In the aforesaid circumstances, with counsel also not present, dismissed for non-prosecution.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

December 17, 2016.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No.