

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.21175 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 08.07.2016**

Paramjit Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Malkeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has sought direction to the official respondents to take appropriate action against respondents No.5 and 6 who have allegedly caused injuries to him.

Learned counsel states that in this connection he has also

moved a representation dated 12.05.2016 (Annexure P-4) which has been addressed to respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4 (though the respondent No.2 has wrongly been described as the SSP, Jalandhar) and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.2 to look into the matter and take appropriate action on his representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar is directed to look into the matter and in case it is found that any action is required to take whatever action he deems necessary as per law.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 08, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE