

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -21154 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.10.2016

Dalbir Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.
assisted by ASI Baghel Singh.

Mr. Sarbjit Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

CRM-31459-2016

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.39 dated 24.03.2016, registered under Sections 452, 325, 324, 323, 427, 148 read with Section 149 IPC, at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar.

On 08.07.2016, this Court had passed the following
order:-

“The petitioners are directed to join the investigation and shall appear before the Investigating Officer on 22.07.2016 at 11 a.m., in the concerned Police Station.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.*

List again on 02.09.2016.”

It is contended that the petitioner Nos. 2 & 3 have also received injuries at the hands of the complainant party. The petitioner have filed a complaint wherein the notice has already been issued. He further states that in pursuance of the order dated 08.07.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 08.07.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail

bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

05.10.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No