

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21147-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.09.2016

Savitri Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Harpreet Kaur Arora, Advocate,
for Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by SI Ram Mangal.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.149 dated 03.05.2016, registered under Sections 307, 506, 147, 148, 323, 325 and 341 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Bhuna, Distt. Fatehabad.

Heard.

On 15.06.2016, the following order was passed:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.149 dated 03.05.2016, under Sections 147/148/323/325/341 IPC (Sections 307/506 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Bhuna, District Fatehabad.

Complainant is Satbir and who is none other than the real son of the present petitioner, namely, Savitri Devi, aged 62 years.

Counsel would submit that even as per complainant's version, he was assaulted by his 560 brothers,

ATUL SETHI
22.09.2016
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

namely, Krishan Kumar and Satpal Singh as also his father Mohan Lal and who have caused injuries to him. No injury has been attributed to the present petitioner.

Notice of motion, returnable for 22.09.2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer and to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and she shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 15.06.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

22.09.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No