

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9188 of 1999

Date of decision: 9.9.2016

Chander Parkash & ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Manohar Lall, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Mr. Manohar Lall candidly admits that nothing survives in this petition in view of the pronouncement of the Supreme Court in State of Haryana & ors. v. Hem Lata Gupta & ors., AIR 2010 SC 629. In the same line, Ms. Goyal relies on a previous decision of the Supreme Court in State of Haryana & ors. v. Sumitra Devi & ors., 2004 (12) SCC 322. Both the judgments have been rendered qua Government schools. Therefore, the view expressed by one of our Division Benches on the point is no longer good law. The petition is rendered infructuous and is, accordingly, disposed of. The file is consigned to record room.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

9.09.2016

monika

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether reportable

Yes / No