

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21083-2016

Date of Decision:- 01.09.2016

Harjit Singh

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

**Present: Mr. D.S. Nigha, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Ms. Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.82 dated 22.06.2011, under Section 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station City Kharar, District SAS Nagar, on the basis of compromise dated 24.05.2016 (Annexure P-2).

Brief facts of the case are that the marriage of complainant was solemnized with petitioner Harjit Singh on 30.06.2009, according to the Hindu Rites and Ceremonies. The parents of the complainant had spent huge amount on the marriage. After few days of marriage, the behavior of the accused became changed and he started harassing the complainant on account of demand of dowry. Due to incompatibility of temperament, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the peace and harmony of the village and area, the matter has now been amicably settled between the parties with the intervention of friends, elders and respectables, vide compromise deed dated 24.05.2016 (Annexure P-2).

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court, was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties, with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise deed dated 24.05.2016 (Annexure P-2), by way of order dated 12.07.2016, by this Court.

In compliance of order dated 12.07.2016 of this Court, the report of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Kharar dated 09.08.2016 has been received. As per the report, the statements of all the concerned parties have been recorded and the complainant has no objection, if the present FIR registered against the petitioner is quashed. Statements of the petitioner and complainant have been recorded to the same effect.

Consequently, in view of the above-said report and in view of the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and another, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910; Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429** and the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.82 dated 22.06.2011, under Section 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station City Kharar, District SAS Nagar and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise deed dated 24.05.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The present petition stands disposed of.

September 01, 2016
naresh.k

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No