

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.21062 of 2016
Date of Decision :14.06.2016

Om Parkash and another

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amol Rattan Singh.

Present: Mr.Vinay Kumar Rao, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr.Jagmohan Singh Ghumman, Advocate, for the complainant.

Amol Rattan Singh, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that suicide of Ashok Kumar was actually related to business transaction, which was wholly civil in nature and as such, his having committed suicide cannot be attributed to the petitioners, who are father and son.

Learned counsel for the complainant, on the other hand, has pointed to the suicide note recovered from the deceased, to submit that the deceased had written therein that he was committing suicide because, earlier, the petitioners, along with one other person, had come to his house and had beaten him up in front of his wife and children, because of a sum of money allegedly taken by the petitioners from the deceased. In respect of that incident, a complaint was lodged in District Alwar, Rajasthan.

Thereafter, as contended by the learned counsel, reading from the suicide note, the petitioners had allegedly come to the workplace of the deceased and had beaten up in front of his workers and as such, feeling humiliated, he committed suicide.

Though, undoubtedly, suicide, is an individual reaction of any person

to any particular situation and different people may react differently. However, that in opinion of this Court, at least, prima facie at this stage, does not justify the actions shown to have been taken by the petitioners, which eventually led suicide of the deceased.

Hence, without commenting upon the merit of the contention made at this stage, I do not find it a case where anticipatory bail deserves to be granted.

Dismissed.

14.06.2016
anil

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge