

**111+228 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-21061 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 11.7.2016**

MOHAMMAD ABBAS AND ANOTHER ...Petitioners

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanu Shree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J.

CRM-20286-2016

CM is allowed.

The document Annexure A-1 is taken on record.

MAIN CASE

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties. Applicant No.2 is stated to be of the age of 62 years. There is document on record filed by the applicant No.2 -Jakariya to show the age as 62 years. As regards applicant No.1, there is no document filed on record and prayer on the basis of oral statement of learned counsel for the applicant No.1 is declined.

The applicants are challaned for the offence under Sections 399/402 IPC in FIR No.79 dated 20.3.2016 and Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act. Looking to the age of applicant No.2, I make the following order:-

1) Applicant No.2 is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing of bail bonds in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like amount in FIR No.79 dated 20.03.2016 under Sections 399/402 IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act, PS GRP, Ambala Cantt, District Ambala, to the satisfaction of CJM, Ambala.

2) Application of applicant No.1 is rejected for want of documents.

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

July 11, 2016
SwarnjitS