

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-21050 of 2016

Date of Decision: July 27, 2016

Mahesh Madhev

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr.Mohammad Arshad, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

This is second petition for grant of pre-arrest bail filed by the petitioner earlier having been dismissed on February 24, 2016.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the challan has not been presented when earlier petition for pre-arrest bail was dismissed. It has been urged by counsel for the petitioner that though the challan has been presented but the prosecution agency has not been able to gather any reliable and relevant evidence.

While dismissing the earlier petition for pre-arrest bail, the conspiracy alleged against the petitioner was considered which was reflected

in the statements of the co-accused Arun and Sonu who allegedly committed the murder, on account of money having been received by them from the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the only evidence collected during the course of investigation is one call by co-accused of the petitioner.

I have heard counsel for the petitioner and express my inability to entertain the second petition as I do not find any extraordinary exceptional circumstances which might have cropped up after the dismissal of the first petition in view of no new changed circumstances. The second petition for pre-arrest bail is dismissed. However, nothing said in this order will prejudice the rights of the petitioner to seek the concession of regular bail on the pleas taken up in the present petition.

July 27, 2016
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/ No.
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No.