

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21003-2016

Date of decision: July 14, 2016.

Hardev Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Gorakh Nath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties and perused the interim order passed by this Court on 15.6.2016. The petitioner is merely an attesting witness on the sale deed.

For the reasons stated in the order of even date passed in the connected case bearing CRM-M-43912-2015 (Bintu @ Mintu v/s State of Haryana), the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 15.6.2016 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to remain on bail till the conclusion of the trial.

Petition disposed of.

July 14, 2016.
kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge