

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.20866 of 2016
Date of decision : 16.06.2016

Veena Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.N. Sarup, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Allegations against the petitioner is that she introduces Aarti to the son of the complainant. Later on it was found that Aarti was married but the divorce was only of Panchayati. Nothing has to be recovered against the petitioner.

Mr. T.N. Sarup, Addl. A.G. Punjab on instructions from ASI Mukhtiar Singh submits that investigation qua the petitioner is over and challan is to be presented.

In view of the fact that the trial may take some time and petitioner is 70 years old lady, I deem it appropriate to grant her bail and accordingly, petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail

bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate,
Bathinda.

The present criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed with
aforementioned direction.

16.06.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**