

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

TAPINDER SINGH MANN
20/08/2016 17:38

Cr. Misc. M 19907 of 2016
Date of decision: 3.8.2016

Jugraj Singh and anr

Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

Respondent

Present: Mr. RK Gupta, Advocate.
Ms. Simsi Dhir, DAG, Punjab
Mr. AS Brar, Advocate.

M.M.S.BEDI,J.

On the instructions of ASI Gurjant Singh, it has been informed that the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Counsel for the complainant has appeared to inform that the petitioners have transferred 1 killa of land worth Rs. 20 lacs and that remaining amount is yet to be returned.

I have considered the facts and the circumstances of the case. Since the FIR was registered on the basis of the allegations that the petitioners had resiled from the terms of the compromise, entered into between the parties, the dispute at present remains to be regarding enforcement of the rights under compromise. Part of the liability having been discharged and assurance having been given for settlement of the dispute, the petition of the petitioners for pre-arrest bail can be allowed as I do not deem it appropriate to keep the condition of return of money as trump card for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

Without prejudice to the right of the complainant to recover the remaining amount, the petition is allowed and it is ordered that in case of arrest of the petitioners, they will be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject to the condition that they will join the investigation as and when required by the police and will not tamper with evidence or hamper the investigation.

August 3 ,2016
TSM

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No

