

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

239

CRM-M-2081-2016

Date of Decision: February 25, 2016

NASEEB

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

Present: Mr.V.P.Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.G.S.Salwara, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

M.M.S.BEDI, J.(Oral)

16 witnesses out of 20 witnesses have already been examined.

The bail application of the petitioner can not be adjudicated upon without making a reference to the evidence.

Avoiding expression of any opinion on merits, in the interest of justice, at this stage, lest it should prejudice the rights of the prosecution agency or the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction that trial Court shall make earnest endeavor to conclude the case within a period of three months after the next date of hearing. In case the trial is not concluded within three months from the next date of hearing, it will be open to the petitioner to approach this Court again for grant of regular bail.

**(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE**

**February 25, 2016
TSG**