

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. Nos. 19605-06 of 2016 in/and
Crl. Misc. No. M-20809 of 2016
Date of Decision: 29.06.2016**

AnitaPetitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Robin Singh Hooda, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Raj Kumar Makkad, DAG, Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

CRM No. 19605 of 2016

This is an application for preponement of the date fixed.

For the reasons mentioned therein, the application is allowed.

Main case is taken up for hearing today itself.

CRM No. 19606 of 2016

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody for the last six months and her due date of delivery is in the first week of July 2016 and they press the application only for interim bail. The counsel further urges that the key witnesses namely the author of the FIR, the victim and her father have already been examined. Counsel for the petitioner states that the mother of the petitioner is present in person in the Court and she has produced the copy of the ration card and has also given an affidavit undertaking to take care of her daughter and to abide by all the conditions

that may be laid. Counsel for the petitioner further states that interim bail of at least four months be granted and they were not pressing for regular bail.

It is not disputed that the petitioner is in the advanced stage of pregnancy. Before the lower Court it had been stated that the delivery was due sometime towards the end of June 2016. The trial Court had dismissed the application in May 2016 and had noted that not a single witness had been examined.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the key witnesses had been examined. Believing the statement to be true and considering the condition of the petitioner interim bail of four months is allowed to the petitioner with the conditions that no extension shall be sought. The petitioner would put in appearance on each and every date of hearing before the trial Court. Petitioner may apply for exemption only for the period which is closer to the date of delivery or post delivery for about a fortnight not later. Bonds shall be furnished to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Application is allowed.

CRM-M-20809-2016

Counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the above, he does not press the petition seeking regular bail.

Petition stands disposed as not pressed.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

June 29, 2016
Gurpreet