

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M-20797 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 7th June, 2016.

Kanchan and another

..... PETITIONER (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with
 Mr.Randeep Singh Dhull, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

Present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 and other relatives because they have contracted marriage between themselves on 6.06.2016 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both the petitioners are present in Court and on being asked submit that they have contracted marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 27.04.1995 and 15.05.1993, respectively. True copies of Aadhar card of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2 A copy of the Marriage Certificate and the

SHIVANI
2016.06.09 11:04
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexures P-3 & P-4. The petitioners are stated to have submitted a representation dated 6.06.2016 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Haryana.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Anmol Malik, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra is directed to decide representation dated 6.06.2016 submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

7th June, 2016
Shivani Kaushik

[LISA GILL]
JUDGE