

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20788-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 25.07.2016

Smt. Rajni

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Ramesh Kumar.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, in case FIR No.70 dated 13.03.2016, registered under Sections 65, 66, 66-B, 66-C and 72 of the Information Technology Act and Sections 379, 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Pinjore, District Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the dispute is inter se the husband and wife. The matter stands compromised.

The learned State counsel, on instructions, admits the factum of the compromise and states that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated

09.06.2016, is made absolute, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

25.07.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE