

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M- 20748 of 2016(O&M)**

**Date of Decision: June 09, 2016**

Sunny Kumar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL**

Present:      Petitioners in person with  
                    Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate  
                    for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**LISA GILL, J.**

Present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6 and other relatives because they have contracted marriage between themselves on 05.06.2016 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both petitioners are present in court and on being asked submit that they have contracted marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, as year of birth of petitioner No. 1 is 1994 and date of birth of petitioner No. 2 is 28.05.1998. True copies of Aadhar Card and Matriculation certificate of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2 though the Aadhar Card (Annexure P1) shows that no specific date is mentioned and only year of birth i.e., 1994 has been mentioned. The

photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexures P-3 to P-6. The petitioners are stated to have submitted a representation dated 05.06.2016 (Annexure P7) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Punjab.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ankur Jain, AAG, Punjab who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot is directed to look into and decide representation dated 05.06.2016 (Annexure P7) submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action as may be required, keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

June 09, 2016  
rts

**(Lisa Gill)**  
**Judge**