

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.20718 of 2016  
Date of decision : 16.06.2016

Ramandeep Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Deepak Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. T.N. Sarup, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)**

Allegations are against the petitioner No.1 who is widow of the deceased Sumanpreet Singh @ Sonu and petitioner No.2 who is deceased's mother-in-law.

Allegations as per the FIR is that both the petitioners are torturing the deceased Sumanpreet Singh @ Sonu who had allegedly committed the suicide thus there is abatement at their instance but the fact remains that both the husband and wife were living separately from the parents of the husband as well as of the wife.

It is also matter of record that twice the petitioner No.1 has aborted.

Without commenting on merits and demerits of the case, petitioners are ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. they will join the investigation as and when required.

Criminal Misc. petition is allowed.

**16.06.2016**

*pawan*

**(AMIT RAWAL)  
JUDGE**