

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -20715 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.07.2016

Jagdish Bhagat

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.
assisted by ASI Gurwinder Singh.

Mr. S.S. Siao, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.88, dated 20.04.2016, registered under Sections 452, 323, 506 read with Section 34 IPC, at Police Station Division No.7 (Vardhman), Ludhiana.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. The co-accused of the petitioner has already been granted the concession of regular bail by the learned trial Court.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant oppose the bail application.

Keeping in view the fact that the alleged offences are triable by Magistrate and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. In the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

11.07.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE