

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(124)

CRM-M-20666-2016

Decided on: September 22, 2016.

Palwinder Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Rahaul Bhargava, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case at Rup Nagar whereas the police of Rup Nagar has got no jurisdiction as the office of the complainant is situated in Jalandhar. His status as employee of complainant has also been denied.

On asking of the Court, learned counsel for the petitioner informs that the prosecution agency, however, has not opted to present challan against the petitioner and that the investigation is still in progress.

In view of the circumstance that the investigation is still under way and the prosecution agency having not opted to present challan till date, this petition can be disposed of as pre-mature.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, seeks permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach this Court in case the prosecution agency opts to present challan against the petitioner on the basis of investigation.

Disposed of as withdrawn.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

September 22, 2016

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No