

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M- 20663 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: June 09, 2016

Savita and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with
 Ms. Anjali Sood, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

Present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9 and other relatives because they have contracted marriage between themselves on 20.05.2016 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both petitioners are present in court and on being asked submit that they have contracted marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 06.08.1984 and 04.06.1986, respectively. True copies of Birth certificate of petitioner No. 1 and Pan Card of petitioner No. 2 have been appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2, respectively. A copy of the photographs of the marriage and Marriage Certificate are also placed

on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners are stated to have

submitted a representation dated 31.05.2016 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. D.S. Brar, APP for U.T., Chandigarh, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh is directed to look into and decide representation dated 31.05.2016 submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action as may be required, keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

June 09, 2016
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge