

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-19708 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 31.05.2016

Tarlochan Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. SPS Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Bains, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 4.5.2016, an amount of ₹ 2 lacs has been paid to the complainant in lieu of value of alleged dowry articles and the same has been affirmed by learned counsel for the complainant.

The bail to the petitioners has been declined only on the ground that recovery could not be effected from them.

Since the petitioners have joined the investigation and have also paid an amount of ₹ 2 lacs in lieu of alleged dowry articles, interim order passed by this Court on 12.6.2015 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

May 31, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE