

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M- 20656 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision: June 7, 2016.

Parwinder Kaur and another PETITIONER (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate
for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

Present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8 and other relatives because they have contracted marriage between themselves on 02.06.2016 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both the petitioners are present in Court and on being asked submit that they have contracted marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 07.12.1996 and 01.01.1987, respectively. True copies of Adhaar Cards of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2. A copy of the Marriage Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexures P3

and P4. The petitioners are stated to have submitted a representation dated 02.06.2016 (Annexure P5) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Superintendent of Police, Kaithal for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Haryana.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Anmol Malik, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Kaithal is directed to look into and decide representation dated 02.06.2016 submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action as may be required, keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

June 7 , 2016.
'om'

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE