

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.20645 of 2016
Date of decision : 16.06.2016

Tej Pal ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that co-accused i.e. the Tehsildar has already been benefited with the regular bail vide order dated 09.05.2016 passed by this Court in CRM-M No.6138 of 2016 in respect of same allegations.

Without commenting on merits and demerits of the case, I deem it appropriate to grant him bail and accordingly, petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Jhajjar .

The present criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed with aforementioned direction.

16.06.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**